

VEGETATION OF THE UZH RIVER DRAINED FLOODPLAIN AREA WITHIN CHORNOBYL RADIATION AND ECOLOGICAL BIOSPHERE RESERVE

D. M. IAKUSHENKO^{1,2}, V. P. KOLOMIICHUK^{3,4}, S. M. OBRIZAN⁴

1 – F. Falz-Fein Biosphere Reserve «Askania Nova», Metrolohichna 12, Kyiv, 03143, Ukraine

2 – University of Zielona Góra, Z. Szafrana 1, Zielona Góra, Poland

e-mail: d.iakushenko@gmail.com

3 – Acad. O.V. Fomin Botanical Garden, Taras Shevchenko National University in Kyiv,

Symona Petlyury 1, Kyiv, 01032, Ukraine

e-mail: vkolomiychuk@ukr.net

4 – Chornobyl Radiation and Ecological Biosphere Reserve, Tolochyna, 28, Ivankiv, 07201, Ukraine

e-mail: brizsemi@gmail.com

The aim of the study is to evaluate a coenotic diversity and to obtain a syntaxonomic scheme of the Uzh river drained floodplain area vegetation within territory of the Chornobyl Radiation and Ecological Biosphere Reserve as a basis for further monitoring and for evaluation of the renaturalization efforts. Dataset of 105 phytosociological relevés was analyzed by modified TWINSPAN protocol using Juice 7.1 software package. As a result of phytosociological survey, syntaxonomic scheme of the studied vegetation was established. In total, 23 associations and 1 community belong to 18 alliances, 14 orders, and 9 classes were distinguished. Class Phragmito-Magnocaricetea Klika in Klika et Novák 1941 is the most diverse (9 association). The mosaic distribution of plant communities is determined by the complexity of the geomorphological structure of the floodplain, complicated by anthropogenic elements (artificial channels, dams), which determines the development of different soil types (sands and sandy loams, alluvial deposits, muds, peats, etc.) and a sharp gradient of groundwater. The situation getting more complex by demutation changes followed after the cessation of land use activity.

Key words: vegetation, syntaxonomy, Polissia, Chornobyl exclusion zone.

Introduction. Rewetting of drained peatlands is considered as an influential tool for biodiversity restoration and for climate protection (Joosten, 2021, Kreyling et al., 2021, Schwieger et al., 2021, Tanneberger et al., 2021, Günther et al., 2022, UNEP, 2022, Sommer & Frank, 2024). For Chornobyl exclusion zone, fires are a significant security threat that affects both natural ecosystems and human activities far beyond the limits of this territory (Matsala et al., 2022). Rewetting of drained peatlands is believed to reduce the risk of fires spreading (Turetsky et al., 2014, Wildfire..., 2024).

The vegetation of Polissia in Ukraine is well-studied (Barbarich, 1955, Andrienko and Shelyag-Sosonko, 1983, Dubyna, 2003, Andrienko, 2006). Also, dynamic processes occurring in the plant cover of drained wetland of the region were described (Balashov et al., 1982, Andrienko and Perekuda, 1988). General vegetation description on Uzh river floodplain area was conducted by D. Afanasiev (Afanasiev, 1968). Before the large-scale wetland transformation in 1950-1970, study area was the complex of marshes, reed-beds and wet meadows with natural flooding regime. Untill 1986, ameliorate area was used mainly for cattle grazing.

After the cessation of land use activity, the impact of land reclamation structures remained and the flooding regime was not reestablished.

Current state of vegetation cover have not been studied in details (Balashov et al., 2001, Petrov, 2016). In 2024, previous studies related to the project on wetland renaturalization and rewetting began.

The aim of the study is to evaluate a coenotic diversity and obtain a syntaxonomic scheme of the Uzh river drained floodplain area vegetation as a basis for further monitoring and for evaluation of the renaturalization efforts.

Materials and methods. Study area (2449 ha) is located in the southern part of Chornobyl Radiation and Ecological Biosphere Reserve (Proekt..., 2020) on the left-bank floodplain area of the Uzh river between points: N 51,290° E 29,608° i N 51,243° E 29,809°. The maximum length of the study area from north to south is 4,1 km, and from west to east is 14,0 km.

Syntaxonomic scheme and characteristics of plant communities are based on interpretation of 105 phytosociological relevés made on standard plots using Braun-Blanquet approach (Westhoff and van

der Maarel, 1978). The phytosociological relevés were stored in the Turboveg for Windows 2.156 database (Hennekens and Schaminée, 2001) and classified using Juice 7.1 software package (Tichý, 2002) by modified TWINSPAN protocol (Roleček et al., 2009). Diagnostic species were chosen on the basis of combine fidelity and frequency measure (Chytrý et al., 2002). High rank syntaxa names follow EuroVegChecklist (Mucina et al., 2016). The classification in association level follows the results of previous taxonomical revisions (Dubyna et al., 2019). Species names follow Euro+Med PlantBase (Euro+Med, 2006). Herbarium specimen are transferred to herbaria KW and CHER.

Results and discussion. As a result of phytosociological survey, the taxonomical scheme of plant communities was obtained:

Lemnetea O. de Bolòs et Masclans 1955

Lemnetalia minoris O. de Bolòs et Masclans 1955

Lemnion minoris O. de Bolòs et Masclans 1955

Lemno-Spirodeletum polyrhizae Koch 1954

Utricularion vulgaris Passarge 1964

Lemno-Utricularietum vulgaris Soó 1947

Stratiotion Den Hartog et Segal 1964

Hydrocharitetum morsus-ranae van Langendonck 1935

Hydrocharito-Stratiotetum aloidis (van Langendonck 1935) Westhoff in Westhoff et Den Held 1969

Phragmito-Magnocaricetea Klika in Klika et Novák 1941

Phragmitetalia Koch 1926

Phragmition communis Koch 1926

Phragmitetum australis Savič 1926

Typhetum latifoliae Nowiński 1930

Glycerietum maximae Nowiński 1930 corr. Šumberová, Chytrý et Danihelka in Chytrý 2011

Magnocaricetalia Pignatti 1953

Magnocaricion elatae Koch 1926

Caricetum elatae Koch 1926

Magnocaricion gracilis Géhu 1961

Caricetum gracilis Savič 1926

Caricetum ripariae Máthé et Kovács 1961

Caricetum vesicariae Chouard 1924

Nasturtio-Glycerietalia Pignatti 1953

Phalaridion arundinaceae Kopecký 1961

Phalaridetum arundinaceae Libbert 1931

Oenanthetalia aquatica Hejný ex Balátová-Tuláčková et al. 1993

Eleocharito palustris-Sagittarion sagittifoliae Passarge 1964

Oenanthetum aquatica Soó ex Nedelcu 1973

Isoëto-Nanojuncetea Br.-Bl. et Tx. in Br.-Bl. et al. 1952

Nanocyperetalia Klika 1935

Eleocharition soloniensis Philippi 1968

Juncetum bufonii Felföldy 1942

Bidentetea Tx. et al. ex von Rochow 1951

Bidentetalia Br.-Bl. et Tx. ex Klika et Hadač 1944

Bidention tripartitae Nordhagen ex Klika et Hadač 1944

Polygonetum hydropiperis Passarge 1965

Koelerio-Corynephoretea canescentis Klika in Klika et Novák 1941

Corynephoretalia canescentis Klika 1934

Corynephorion canescentis Klika 1931

Corniculario aculeatae-Corynephorium canescentis Steffen 1931

Koelerion glaucae Volk 1931

Diantho borbasii-Agrostietum syreistschikovii Vicherek 1972

Corynephorio-Silenetum tataricae Libbert 1931

Trifolio-Geranietea sanguinei T. Müller 1962

Antherico ramosi-Geranietalia sanguinei Julve ex Dengler in Dengler et al. 2003

Geranion sanguinei Tx. in T. Müller 1962

Geranio sanguinei-Trifolietum alpestris T. Müller 1962

Molinio-Arrhenatheretea Tx. 1937

Galiotalia veri Mirkin et Naumova 1986

Agrostion vinealis Sipailova et al. 1985

Agrostio vinealis-Calamagrostietum epigei Shelyag-Sosonko et al. ex Shelyag-Sosonko et al. 1985

Molinetalia caeruleae Koch 1926

Deschampsion cespitosae Horvatić 1930

Agrostio caninae-Alopecuretum pratensis Kuzemko 2012

Filipendulo ulmariae-Lotetalia uliginosi Passarge 1975

Veronico longifoliae-Lysimachion vulgaris (Passarge 1977) Bal.-Tul. 1981 com. *Thalictrum flavum*

Franguletea Doing ex Westhoff in Westhoff et Den Held 1969

Salicetalia auritae Doing 1962

Salicion cinereae T. Müller et Görs ex Passarge 1961

Salicetum pentandro-cinerea Passarge 1961

Alnetea glutinosae Br.-Bl. et Tx. ex Westhoff et al. 1946

Alnetalia glutinosae Tx. 1937

Alnion glutinosae Malcuit 1929

Carici acutiformis-Alnetum Scamoni 1935

Free-floating aquatic vegetation is formed on the surface of different water bodies with still water (oxbows, ponds, ditches, permanently watered depressions, etc.). Commonly, communities with *Lemna minor*, *L. trisulca*, *Spirodela polyrhiza*, *Utricularia vulgaris*, *Hydrocharis morsus-ranae*, *Stratiotes aloides*, and *Wolffia arrhiza* occur here.

Wetland vegetation prevails in plant cover on study area. This vegetation type is diverse according to differences in ecological conditions, mainly in water regime and depositions type. Generally,

marshes are species-poor, and coenoses are dominated by only one vascular plant species. Tall and dense reed beds (association *Phragmitetum australis*) are widespread on study area along waterbodies and depressions in wide moisture gradient from the depth of 0,5 m to the wet meadows on different deposits (Table 1, col. 1). Communities of the association *Typhetum latifoliae* occur in riparian zone. In a shallow wet depressions with gley muddy soils, communities of the association *Glycerietum maximae* are formed. The communities of *Caricetum gracilis* association occur commonly on the swampy meadows formed on flat bottoms and shallow depressions in central part of the floodplain on silty-gley and peaty soils (Table 1, col. 5). Stands of the association *Caricetum ripariae* are developed on depressions with muddy deposits (Table 1, col. 3). Communities of the association *Caricetum vesicariae* occur sporadically in riparian zone of shallow mesotrophic to eutrophic waterbodies with still water (oxbows, pools, ditches). Watered (depth up to 0,5 m), deeply flooded at spring, depressions with mesotrophic to slightly eutrophic still water are occupied by well-developed tussocks of *Carex elata* on peat depositions. This marshy vegetation belongs to the association *Caricetum elatae* (Table 1, col. 2). On organic soils without water stagnation along artificial ditches, plant communities belong to the association *Phalaridetum arundinaceae* are noticed (Table 1, col. 4). In shallow still waters on organic muddy sediments, communities with *Oenanthe aquatica* occur. Described on study area marshes and reed-beds has typical for the region species pool and community structure (Bachuryna, 1964, Bradis et al., 1973).

Nitrophilous annual herbaceous plant communities on muddy substrats, which tend to dry out quickly, belong to the association *Polygonetum hydropteris*. On wet sands, species-poor communities of short annual rushes of the association *Juncetum bufonii* occur occasionally.

Psammophitic vegetation occurs in upper parts of sandy ridges in the central part of floodplain, on the slopes of terrace and, secondarily, along the extensively used dirt roads. Communities of the class *Koelerio-Corynephoretea* are developed in the driest conditions within study area. Open sands are initially overgrown by tussocks of *Corynephorus canescens*, mosses (*Polytrichum piliferum*, *Ceratodon purpureus*) and lichens (*Cladina mitis*) (Andrienko, 1994). These communities belong to the alliance *Corynephorion canescentis* and to the association *Corniculario aculeatae-Corynephoretum canescentis* (Dubyna et al., 2020) (Table 1, col. 8). Communities of the alliance *Koelerion glaucae* occur on stabilised sand dunes and are represented

by two associations. The first one, *Diantho borbasii-Agrostietum syreistschikovii*, is related to the fluvio-glacial terraces of Dnieper glaciation (Iakushenko, 2004, Kuzemko, 2009). The second one, *Corynephoro-Silenetum tataricae*, is connected with the sandy sediments of large and middle river valleys.

Species-rich thermophilous forest fringe communities of the association *Geranio sanguinei-Trifolietum alpsetris* are rare in river valley, only one stand was noticed on elevated geomorphological element of the floodplain area.

Meadow vegetation on drained floodplain area of Uzh river are developed on mineral soils. Communities of the class *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea* occupied an area of about 1000 ha. These grasslands differ significantly in terms of species numbers and floristical diversity compared to wetland plant communities.

Meadow communities of the alliance *Agrostion vinealis* occupy mesotrophic turfic sandy soils on slightly elevated elements of floodplain area. In these communities, *Calamagrostis epigeios* (cover varies from 5-7 % to 25-30 %) with narrow-leaved grasses (*Poa angustifolia*, *Festuca rubra*, *Agrostis vinealis*) prevail. Typical mesophilous meadow species (*Stellaria graminea*, *Campanula patula*, *Equisetum arvense*, *Achillea millefolium*, *Centaurea jacea*, *Plantago lanceolata*, *Phleum pratense*, etc.) are well-represented in it. Also, these communities are enriched in species of continental intermittently flooded river valleys (*Scutellaria hastifolia*, *Rumex thyrsiflorus*, *Viola persicifolia*, *Veronica longifolia*), also in species of thermophilous dry grasslands (*Carlina vulgaris*, *Dianthus armeria*, *Hieracium caespitosum*, *Hypericum perforatum*, *Trifolium medium*, *Viola arvensis*, etc.), and ruderal species (*Elymus repens*, *Melandrium album*, *Stenactis annua*, etc.) (Table 1, col. 7). Perhaps due to changes in hydrological regime, flooding disappearance and cessation of grazing, these communities seems to be more mesophilous and less psammophitic, than typical coenoses of the association (Sypailova et al., 1985). It should be noticed that the accumulation of a significant amount of undecomposed phytomass (stems of *Calamagrostis epigeios* mostly) could negatively affect species composition and community dynamics.

The communities of the alliance *Deschampsion caespitosae* occur sporadically on study area. Wet floodplain meadows dominated by *Alopecurus pratensis* on heavy clayed eutrophic, slightly acidic soils belong to the association *Agrostio caninae-Alopecuretum pratensis*.

Species-poor communities built by tall forbs with significant cover of *Thalictrum flavum* are formed on mesohygrophilous conditions in peripheral zones of willow thickets and on wet sedge meadows on fertile organic acidic soils. These communities belong to the alliance *Veronico longifoliae-Lysimachion vulgaris*, and are still poor known in Ukraine (Kuzemko, 2016).

Thickets of the association *Salicetum pentandro-cinerea* are widespread on Uzh river floodplain area. Shrubby willow thickets are formed on peat soils in a broad moisture gradient and are able to withstand prolonged flooding and stagnation of surface water. Dense shrubs high to 4 are formed by *Salix cinerea* with admixture of *Salix pentandra*. The herbaceous layer contains species typical to the surrounding wet sedge meadows and black alder carrs (*Calystegia sepium*, *Carex acuta*, *C. riparia*, *Galium palustre*, *Mentha arvensis*, *Rubus caesius*, *Symphytum officinale*, etc.) (Table 1, col. 6).

Woody vegetation on study area is represented by natural alder carrs, secondary birch and aspen coppicings, and Scots pine plantations. Black alder carrs are preserved as a forested fragments on lowest part of the floodplain near the terrace. They have been transformed due to amelioration activities, but well developed undisturbed plant communities are still exist here. Typical, well-watered *Alnus glutinosa* carrs on eutrophic peat belong to the association *Carici acutiformis-Alnetum*. As regular flooding is critically important for maintaining of habitat conditions in alder carrs, these communities are sentenced on progressive and inevitable degradation without restoring of natural hydrological conditions in study area (Douda, 2016, Mandžukovski et al., 2021, Borsukevych, 2024).

Cessation of haymaking, cattle moving and grazing after the disaster on nuclear power plant led to transformation of grassland vegetation towards serial deciduous broadleaf forests. On mineral soils, sparse birch groves with dense (up to 70 %) herbaceous cover dominated by grasses are scattered among meadows. Along dams, secondary *Populus tremula* forests with *Rubus caesius* undergrowth were formed. On sand ridges with podzolic soils, plantations of *Pinus sylvestris* occur sporadically. Unlike natural pine forests of the fluvio-glacial terraces, herbaceous cover is built mostly by ruderal species.

Plant communities indicated by one phytosociological relevé only:

Association Lemno-Spirodeletum polyrhizae. 25-06-2024. N 51,27735° E 29,75728°. Relevé area: 2 m². Number of vascular plant species: 6. Layer C – 95 %. D.s. Ass: *Spirodela polyrhiza* 4. D.s. Cl. Lemneta: *Hydrocharis morsus-ranae* 2, *Lemna minor* 2, *Lemna trisulca* 3, *Salvinia natans* r, *Wolffia arrhiza* 2. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Lemno-Utricularietum vulgaris. 10-06-2024. N 51,2779° E 29,80399°. Relevé area: 2 m². Number of vascular plant species: 5. Layer C – 95 %. D.s. Ass: *Utricularia vulgaris* 3. D.s. Cl. Lemneta: *Hydrocharis morsus-ranae* 4, *Lemna minor* 2, *Stratiotes aloides* 2. Other species: *Glyceria maxima* 2. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Hydrocharitetum morsus-ranae. 28-06-2024. N 51,27853° E 29,71677°. Relevé area: 10 m². Number of vascular plant species: 3. Layer C – 100 %. D.s. Ass: *Hydrocharis morsus-ranae* 2. D.s. Cl. Lemneta: *Lemna minor* 5, *Utricularia vulgaris* +. Author: V. Kolomiichuk.

Association Hydrocharito-Stratiotetum aloidis. 26-06-2024. Relevé area: 2 m². Number of vascular plant species: 4. Layer C – 80 %. D.s. Ass: *Stratiotes aloides* 4. D.s. Cl. Lemneta: *Hydrocharis morsus-ranae* 2, *Lemna minor* 2, *Spirodela polyrhiza* +. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Typhetum latifoliae. 28-06-2024. N 51,27853° E 29,71667°. Relevé area: 25 m². Number of vascular plant species: 3. Layer C – 90 %. D.s. Ass: *Typha latifolia* 5. D.s. Cl. Phragmito-Magnocaricetea: *Lycopus europaeus* +, *Sium latifolium* +. Author: V. Kolomiichuk.

Association Glycerietum maximae. 25-06-2024. N 51,27801° E 29,75728°. Relevé area: 25 m². Number of vascular plant species: 6. Layer C – 95 %. D.s. Ass: *Glyceria maxima* 5. D.s. Cl. Phragmito-Magnocaricetea: *Carex acuta* 2, *Lycopus europaeus* r. Other species: *Bidens tripartita* r, *Calystegia sepium* +, *Stachys palustris* r. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Caricetum vesicariae. 28-06-2024. N 51,26308° E 29,66832°. Relevé area: 25 m². Number of vascular plant species: 1. Layer C – 50 %. D.s. Ass: *Carex vesicaria* 4. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Oenanthetum aquaticae. 28-06-2024. N 51,26363° E 29,70025°. Relevé area: 10 m². Number of vascular plant species: 10. Layer C – 70 %. D.s. Ass: *Oenanthe aquatica* 2. D.s. Cl. Phragmito-Magnocaricetea: *Carex acuta* +, *Glyceria fluitans* 2, *Iris pseudacorus* 2, *Sium latifolium* 2. Other species: *Bidens tripartita* +, *Hydrocharis morsus-ranae* 2, *Lemna minor* 2, *Lysimachia vulgaris* r, *Salvinia natans* 2. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Polygonetum hydropiperis. 26-06-2024. N 51,27845° E 29,73942°. Relevé area: 10 m². Number of vascular plant species: 11. Layer C – 95 %. D.s. Ass: *Bidens tripartita* 2, *Polygonum hydropiper* 5. Other species: *Carex acuta* r, *Epilobium palustre* +, *Galium palustre* +, *Lysimachia vulgaris* 2, *Lythrum salicaria* r, *Polygonum amphibium* f. *terrestre* 2, *Ranunculus flammula* r, *Symphytum officinale* r, *Viola persicifolia* r. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Juncetum bufonii. 28-06-2024. N 51,26355° E 29,66917°. Relevé area: 5 m². Number of vascular plant species: 5. Layer C – 35 %. D.s. Ass: *Juncus bufonius* 3. D.s. Cl. Isoëto-Nanojuncetea: *Juncus capitatus* 1. Other species: *Calamagrostis epigeios* r, *Corynephorus canescens* r, *Pinus sylvestris* (juv.) r. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Diantho borbassii-Agrostietum syreistschikovii. 27-06-2024. N 51,27554° E 29,68509°. Relevé area: 25 m². Number of vascular plant species: 26. Layer C – 45 %. D.s. Ass: *Agrostis vinealis* 2, *Artemisia campestris* 2. D.s. Al. Koelerion glaucae: *Centaurea*

stoebe r, *Koeleria glauca* +, *Silene lithuanica* r. D.s. Cl. Koelerio-Corynephoretea: *Arenaria serpyllifolia* r, *Cerastium* sp. r, *Corynephorus canescens* 1, *Helichrysum arenarium* 2, *Jasione montana* r, *Plantago arenaria* r, *Potentilla argentea* +, *Rumex acetosella* r, *Sedum acre* 1, *Trifolium arvense* r, *Veronica dillenii* r. Other species: *Berteroa incana* r, *Calamagrostis epigeios* 2, *Corispermum* sp. r, *Echium vulgare* +, *Elytrigia repens* r, *Hypericum perforatum* +, *Lepidium densiflorum* r, *Medicago minima* r, *Rumex thyrsoflorus* r, *Solidago canadensis* r. Layer D – 7 %: *Cladina mitis* +, *Tortula ruralis* 2. Author: V. Kolomiichuk.

Association Corynephoros-Silenetum tataricae. 10-06-2024. N 51,27275° E 29,78860°. Relevé area: 10 m². Number of vascular plant species: 29. Layer C – 60 %. D.s. Ass.: *Rumex thyrsoflorus* +, *Saponaria officinalis* +, *Silene tatarica* 1. D.s. Al. Koelerion glaucae: *Anthylis vulneraria* 1, *Artemisia campestris* 1, *Centaurea stoebe* 1, *Chondrilla juncea* r, *Festuca polesica* 2, *Peucedanum oreoselinum* 1, *Poa compressa* 2, *Solidago virgaurea* 2. D.s. Cl. Koelerio-Corynephoretea: *Corynephorus canescens* 2, *Helichrysum arenarium* 1, *Jasione montana* +, *Rumex acetosella* r, *Sedum acre* 1, *Scleranthus annuus* 1, *Spergula vernalis* 1, *Veronica dillenii* 1. Other species: *Achillea millifolium* +, *Berteroa incana* r, *Conyza canadensis* +, *Elytrigia repens* 1, *Equisetum arvense* r, *Hylotelephium maximum* r, *Trifolium alpestre* 1, *Viola arvensis* r. Layer D – 20 %: *Cladina mitis* 1, *Politrichum piliferum* 2. Layer B – 10%: *Pinus sylvestris* 1, *Quercus robur* 1. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Geranio sanguinei-Trifolietum alpestris. 11-06-2024. N 51,42992° E 29,69379°. Relevé area: 20 m². Number of vascular plant species: 44. Layer C – 85%. D.s. Ass.: *Campanula trachelium* 1, *Genista tinctoria* 1, *Geranium sanguineum* 2, *Trifolium alpestre* 1. D.s. Al. Geranion sanguinei: *Anthericum ramosum* r, *Centaurea scabiosa* r, *Lychnis viscaria* 1, *Polygonatum odoratum* 1, *Stachys officinalis* r, *Veronica teucrium* 2. D.s. Cl. Trifolio-Geranieta: *Campanula persicifolia* 1, *Coronilla varia* 1, *Hieracium umbellatum* r, *Knautia arvensis* r, *Lathyrus sylvestris* +, *Origanum vulgare* 1, *Ranunculus polyanthemus* r, *Silene nutans* +, *Trifolium montanum* 1. Other species: *Achillea millifolium* +, *Artemisia campestris* r, *Brachypodium sylvatica* 1, *Calamagrostis epigeios* 1, *Elytrigia repens* 2, *Equisetum arvense* r, *Festuca rubra* 1, *Galium verum* 1, *Hylotelephium maximum* 1, *Koeleria glauca* +, *Lysimachia vulgaris* 1, *Melandrium album* +, *Oberna behen* r, *Peucedanum oreoselinum* 2, *Phleum phleoides* 1, *Poa angustifolia* 1, *Pteridium aquilinum* 2, *Saponaria officinalis* +, *Serratula tinctoria* r, *Solidago virgaurea* 1, *Tanacetum vulgare* +, *Trifolium arvense* r, *Veronica chamaedrys* +, *Veronica spicata* r. Layer B – 3 %: *Populus tremula* 1. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Agrostio caninae-Alopecuretum pratensis. 27-06-2024. N 51,27609° E 29,73261°. Relevé area: 25 m². Number of vascular plant species: 13. Layer C – 85%. D.s. Ass.: *Agrostis canina* r, *Alopecurus pratensis* 4. D.s. Al. Deschampsion cespitosae: *Cnidium dubium* +, *Lythrum virgatum* r. Other species: *Cirsium arvense* r, *Epilobium palustre* r, *Galium mollugo* r, *Juncus*

conglomeratus +, *Lathyrus pratensis* r, *Lysimachia vulgaris* 1, *Poa pratensis* 2, *Potentilla anserina* +, *Vicia cracca* r. Author: V. Kolomiichuk.

Community with *Thalictrum flavum*. 26-06-2024. N 51,27820° E 29,73919°. Relevé area: 16 m². Number of vascular plant species: 13. Layer C – 80 %. D.s. Al. Veronico longifoliae-Lysimachion vulgaris: *Calystegia sepium* 2, *Lysimachia vulgaris* 1, *Lythrum salicaria* r, *Thalictrum flavum* 3, *Veronica longifolia* 1. Other species: *Agrostis gigantea* 1, *Calamagrostis epigeios* 1, *Carex acuta* 1, *Carex riparia* 1, *Carex vulpina* 2, *Galium palustre* 1, *Phalaris arundinacea* 1, *Vicia sepium* 1. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Association Carici acutiformis-Alnetum. 26-06-2024. N 51,28113° E 29,71423°. Relevé area: 100 m². Number of vascular plant species: 20. Layer A (h – 18 m, d – 0,4 m). – 80 %: *Alnus glutinosa* 5. Layer B – 3 %: *Acer negundo* +, *Alnus glutinosa* 1. Layer C – 90 %: *Bidens tripartita* +, *Calamagrostis canescens* r, *Calystegia sepium* 1, *Carex acutiformis* 3, *Cirsium vulgare* r, *Galium palustre* +, *Humulus lupulus* 2, *Iris pseudacorus* 1, *Juncus effusus* r, *Lycopus europaeus* +, *Myosoton aquaticum* 1, *Poa palustris* 2, *Polygonum hydropiper* 1, *Ranunculus repens* +, *Rubus idaeus* 2, *Rumex sanguineus* r, *Stachys palustris* 1, *Urtica galeopsifolia* 2. Author: D. Iakushenko.

Conclusions. The vegetation of drained Uzh river floodplaine area within the territory of the Chernobyl Radiation and Ecological Biosphere Reserve is diverse. As a result of phytosociological survey, syntaxonomic scheme of the studied vegetation was established. In total, 23 associations and 1 community belonging to 18 alliances, 14 orders, and 9 classes were distinguished.

Open grassland habitats (marshes, meadows) and shrub willow thickets prevail here. The mosaic distribution of plant communities is determined by the complexity of the geomorphological structure of the floodplain, complicated by anthropogenic elements (artificial channels, dams), which determines the development of different soil types (sands and sandy loams, alluvial deposits, muds, peats, etc.) and a sharp gradient of groundwater. The situation getting more complex by demutation changes followed after the cessation of land use activity.

Interests disclosure. The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Funding information. This study was partly financed through the “Polesia – Wilderness Without Borders” project of the Endangered Landscapes & Seascapes Programme, funded by Arcadia, coordinated by the Frankfurt Zoological Society.

Table 1.

Synoptic table with percentage frequency, %

Associations	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Number of relevés	8	5	9	5	15	9	32	6
D.s. Cl. Phragmito-Magnocaricetea								
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	100	.	33	60	20	33	9	.
<i>Carex elata</i>	.	100	.	.	.	11	.	.
<i>Carex riparia</i>	13	60	100	40	53	56	3	.
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	25	.	78	100	.	22	6	.
<i>Carex acuta</i>	50	20	44	40	100	56	13	.
<i>Lysimachia vulgaris</i>	38	60	78	80	73	44	13	.
<i>Symphytum officinale</i>	13	20	78	60	27	44	.	.
<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	13	80	11	.	27	11	3	.
<i>Iris pseudacorus</i>	13	20	22	.	13	11	.	.
<i>Scutellaria galericulata</i>	13	20	22	20	7	11	.	.
<i>Galium palustre</i>	13	.	22	40	13	44	.	.
<i>Lycopus europaeus</i>	13	.	22	20	.	22	.	.
<i>Stachys palustris</i>	25	.	11	20	13	22	.	.
<i>Epilobium palustre</i>	13	.	11	.	20	11	34	.
<i>Equisetum palustre</i>	13	.	11	.	7	.	.	.
<i>Galium uliginosum</i>	13	3	.
<i>Carex vesicaria</i>	.	20	11
<i>Carex vulpina</i>	.	.	11	20	.	.	3	.
D.s. Cl. Franguletea								
<i>Salix cinerea</i>	13	.	22	.	13	100	.	.
<i>Salix pentandra</i>	33	.	.
<i>Frangula alnus</i>	22	9	.
D.s. O. Galietales veri								
<i>Calamagrostis epigejos</i>	13	.	33	20	40	11	100	50
<i>Poa angustifolia</i>	.	.	.	20	13	.	88	17
<i>Dianthus armeria</i>	38	.
<i>Hieracium umbellatum</i>	34	.
<i>Galium verum</i>	31	.
D.s. Cl. Molinio-Arrhenatheretea								
<i>Stellaria graminea</i>	.	.	.	20	20	.	66	.
<i>Vicia cracca</i>	.	.	11	40	7	.	22	17
<i>Lathyrus pratensis</i>	.	.	11	20	7	.	3	.
<i>Campanula patula</i>	7	.	41	.
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	31	33
<i>Equisetum pratense</i>	.	.	11	.	7	.	9	.
D.s. Cl. Koelerio-Corynephoretea								
<i>Corynephorus canescens</i>	100
<i>Veronica dillenii</i>	9	100
<i>Jasione montana</i>	3	100
<i>Artemisia campestris</i>	6	100
<i>Scleranthus perennis</i>	83
<i>Helichrysum arenarium</i>	83
<i>Polytrichum piliferum</i>	83
<i>Sedum acre</i>	3	67
<i>Rumex acetosella</i>	6	50
<i>Cladina mitis</i>	50
<i>Arenaria serpyllifolia</i>	9	50
<i>Solidago virgaurea</i>	13	50
<i>Silene lituanica</i>	33
<i>Hieracium echinoides</i>	33
<i>Agrostis vinealis</i>	13	33
<i>Trifolium arvense</i>	9	33
<i>Centaurea rhenana</i>	9	33
<i>Potentilla argentea</i>	19	17
<i>Cardaminopsis arenosa</i>	3	17
<i>Hypochoeris radicata</i>	3	17
D.s. O. Convolvuletalia								

<i>Calystegia sepium</i>	75	.	56	40	40	44	6	.
<i>Urtica dioica</i>	63	40	11	40	27	67	16	.
<i>Echinocystis lobata</i>	25	.	11	40	7	22	6	.
<i>Humulus lupulus</i>	13	20	22	.	13	22	9	.
<i>Galium aparine</i>	13	.	22	20	20	22	31	.
<i>Scrophularia umbrosa</i>	13	.	22	.	7	.	6	.
Other species								
<i>Agrostis gigantea</i>	38	.	56	40	7	11	19	.
<i>Galeopsis sp.</i>	13	.	22	.	13	22	25	.
<i>Fallopia convolvulus</i>	13	.	11	.	.	11	22	.
<i>Polygonum persicaria</i>	13	.	.	20	7	11	.	.
<i>Polygonum hydropiper</i>	13	.	11	.	.	11	.	.
<i>Solanum dulcamara</i>	13	.	11	.	7	22	.	.
<i>Rorippa palustris</i>	13	.	.	20
<i>Lemna minor</i>	13	11	.	.
<i>Salvinia natans</i>	13	11	.	.
<i>Fallopia dumetorum</i>	13	3	.
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	25	40	22	20	27	11	28	.
<i>Polygonum amphibium f. terrestre</i>	.	20	.	.	13	.	.	.
<i>Ptarmica salicifolia</i>	.	.	33	20	.	6	.	.
<i>Thalictrum lucidum</i>	.	.	22	.	.	.	3	.
<i>Lythrum virgatum</i>	.	.	11	.	7	.	13	.
<i>Rubus caesius</i>	.	.	11	20	.	44	.	.
<i>Rumex sp.</i>	.	.	11	20
<i>Bidens tripartita</i>	.	.	11	20	.	33	.	.
<i>Thalictrum flavum</i>	13	.	11	20	13	.	.	.
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	.	.	11	.	.	.	3	.
<i>Scirpus sylvaticus</i>	.	.	11	.	.	.	3	.
<i>Poa palustris</i>	.	.	11	.	.	11	.	.
<i>Viola persicifolia</i>	.	.	22	60	.	11	13	.
<i>Cnidium dubium</i>	.	.	.	20	7	.	9	.
<i>Galium boreale</i>	.	.	.	20	.	.	6	.
<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	.	.	.	20	.	33	.	.
<i>Pyrus communis</i>	.	.	.	20	7	.	19	.
<i>Myosoton aquaticum</i>	.	.	.	20	.	22	.	.
<i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i>	7	22	13	.
<i>Valeriana officinalis</i>	7	.	.	.
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	13	.	11	.	7	.	.	.
<i>Calamagrostis canescens</i>	.	.	11	.	.	11	.	.
<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	11	13	.
<i>Melandrium album</i>	7	.	34	.
<i>Scutellaria hastifolia</i>	.	.	11	.	27	.	28	.
<i>Veronica longifolia</i>	.	40	44	20	40	11	41	.
<i>Linaria vulgaris</i>	.	.	11	.	20	.	38	.
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	.	.	11	.	7	11	6	.
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	13	100
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	.	.	22	.	27	.	69	17
<i>Stenactis annua</i>	66	17
<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	13	.	59	33
<i>Elytrigia repens</i>	.	.	.	20	7	.	25	33
<i>Rumex thyrsiflorus</i>	.	.	.	20	.	.	47	50
<i>Carex hirta</i>	31	33
<i>Viola tricolor</i>	6	33
<i>Scrophularia nodosa</i>	7	.	.6	.
<i>Equisetum arvense</i>	13	41	.
<i>Viola arvensis</i>	7	.	47	17
<i>Oenothera biennis</i>	19	17
<i>Crepis capillaris</i>	16	17
<i>Verbascum nigrum</i>	13	17
<i>Myosotis arvensis</i>	13	17
<i>Berteroa incana</i>	6	50

<i>Oenothera rubricaulis</i>	9	17
<i>Dianthus deltoides</i>	6	17
<i>Vicia sativa</i>	3	17
<i>Carduus crispus</i>	13	.	3	.
<i>Acer negundo</i>	13	.	3	.
<i>Descurainia sophia</i>	7	.	3	.

Associations:

- 1 – Phragmitetum australis Savič 1926,
- 2 – Caricetum elatae Koch 1926,
- 3 – Caricetum ripariae Máthé et Kovács 1961,
- 4 – Phalaridetum arundinaceae Libbert 1931,
- 5 – Caricetum gracilis Savič 1926,
- 6 – Salicetum pentandro-cinerea Passarge 1961,
- 7 – Agrostio vinealis-Calamagrostietum epigei Shelyag-Sosonko et al. ex Shelyag-Sosonko et al. 1985,
- 8 – Corniculario aculeatae-Corynephorretum canescentis Steffen 1931.

Species occur in one association only:

Ass. 1: *Hydrocharis morsus-ranae* (38 %); *Cradamine* sp. (25%); *Ranunculus sceleratus*, *Salix aurita*, *Spirodela polyrhiza*, *Stratiotes aloides* (13 %).

Ass. 3: *Euphorbia lucida*, *Rumex hydrolapathum* (11%).

Ass. 5: *Carex nigra*, *Euphorbia palustris*, *Glyceria maxima* (7 %).

Ass. 6: *Agrostis stolonifera*, *Oenanthe aquatica*, *Stellaria alsine* (22 %); *Carex canescens*, *Dryopteris carthusiana*, *Myosotis caespitosa*, *Peucedanum palustre*, *Ranunculus flammula*, *R. repens*, *Salix alba*, *S. fragilis*, *Trifolium pratense*, *Typha latifolia* (11 %).

Ass. 7: *Centaurea jacea* (28 %); *Artemisia absinthium*, *Daucus carota*, *Hieracium caespitosum* (25 %); *Carlina vulgaris*, *Lotus corniculatus*, *Phleum pratense*, *Plantago lanceolata*, *Prunella vulgaris*, *Trifolium medium* (22 %), *Cerastium holosteoides*, *Genista tinctoria*, *Luzula campestris* (19 %); *Hieracium pilosella*, *Leucanthemum vulgare*, *Potentilla impolita*, *Vicia hirsuta* (16 %); *Agrostis capillaris*, *Dactylis glomerata*, *Pimpinella saxifraga*, *Senecio jacobaea*, *Tanacetum vulgare*, *Veronica chamaedrys* (13 %); *Achillea collina*, *Bromus inermis*, *Carex echinata*, *C. praecox*, *Coronilla varia*, *Holcus mollis*, *Lychnis flos-cuculi*, *Polygala comosa*, *Ranunculus polyanthemus*, *Viola canina* (9 %); *Alopecurus pratensis*, *Cichorium intybus*, *Erigeron acer*, *Euphorbia virgultosa*, *Euphrasia* sp., *Galium mollugo*, *Juncus conglomeratus*, *Malus domestica*, *Matricaria perforata*, *Poa compressa*, *Picris hieracioides*, *Ranunculus acris*, *Rumex* sp., *Silene vulgaris*, *Solidago canadensis*, *Trifolium dubium*, *T. repens*, *Vicia tetrasperma* (6 %); *Arrhenatherum elatius*, *Artemisia vulgaris*, *Carex contigua*, *C. ericetorum*, *C. leporina*, *C. spicata*, *Centaureum umbellatum*, *Cerastium arvense*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Crepis foetida*, *Epilobium angustifolium*, *Erophila verna*, *Glechoma hederacea*, *Gnaphalium sylvaticum*, *Gypsophila muralis*, *Chenopodium album*, *Inula salicina*, *Leontodon hispidus*, *Molinia caerulea*, *Myosotis stricta*, *Pinus sylvestris*, *Populus tremula*, *Rhinanthus* sp., *Rosa* sp., *Rumex crispus*, *Lychnis viscaria*, *Silene tatarica*, *Stellaria nemorum*, *Taraxacum officinale*, *Thymus pulegioides*, *Tragopogon pratensis*, *Turritis glabra* (3 %).

Ass. 8: *Apera spica-venti*, *Bromus tectorum*, *Ceratodon purpureus*, *Chondrilla juncea*, *Festuca polesica*, *Filago minima*, *Herniaria glabra*, *Holcus lanatus*, *Peucedanum oreoselinum*, *Silene otites* (17 %).

References:

1. Afanasiev, D.Ya. (1968). A brief geobotanical sketch of the floodplain meadows of Uzh River [Korotkyi heobotanichnyi narys zaplavnykh luk r. Uzh]. *Ukrainian Botanical Journal*, 25 (4): 30-37. [in Ukrainian].
2. Andrienko, T.L. (1994). Ecologic-cenotic peculiarities of sands overgrowing in Ukrainian Polissya [Ekoloho-tsenotychni osoblyvosti zarostannia piskiv Ukrainskoho Polissia]. *Ukrainian Botanical Journal*, 51 (4), 34-38. (in Ukrainian).
3. Andrienko, T.L. (ed.). (2006). Phytodiversity of the Ukrainian Polissia and its conservation [Fitoriznomanittia Ukrainskoho Polissia ta yoho okhorona]. Kyiv: Phytosociocentre. [in Ukrainian].
4. Andrienko, T.L., Pehuda, L.V. (1988). Basic patterns of spatial distribution of plant communities on Ukrainian Polissia [Osnovnye zakonomernosti territorial'nogo raspredeleniya rastitel'nykh soobshchestv Ukrainskogo Polesjya]. In: Ecological aspects of drainage amelioration on Ukrainian Polissia [Ekologicheskie aspekty osushitel'nykh melioratsiy Ukrainskogo Polesjya]. Kyiv: Naukova dumka, 111-162 p.
5. Andrienko, T.L., Shelyag-Sosonko Yu.R. (1983). Plant cover of Ukrainian Polissia in the aspect of its protection [Rastitel'nyy mir Ukrainskogo Poles'ya v aspekto ego okhrany]. Kyiv, Naukova dumka. [in Russian].
6. Bachuryna, H.F. (1964). Peat bogs of Ukrainian Polissia, their general character, vegetation, stratigraphy and development ways [Torfovi bolota Ukrainskoho Polissia, yikh zahalnyi kharakter, roslynnist, stratyhrafii ta shliakhy rozvytku]. Kyiv: Naukova dumka. (in Ukrainian).
7. Balashov, L.S., Andrienko, T.L., Kuzmichev, A.I., Hryhora, I.M. (1982). Changes in flora and vegetation of the bogs at Ukrainian SSR caused by drainage amelioration [Izmeneniya rastitel'nosti i flory bolot USSR pod vliyaniem osushitel'noy melioratsii]. Kyiv, Naukova dumka. [in Russian].

8. Balashov, L.S. (2001). Floristic structure of the ecosystems of the Exclusion zone and the Zone of obligate (compulsory) resettlement [Florystychnyi sklad ekosystem Zony vidchuzhennia i Zony bezumovnoho (oboviazkovoho) vidseleennia]. *Autorehabilitation processes in ecosystems of the Chernobyl exclusion zone*. Kyiv, Chernivtsi: ANT Ltd, 229-241. [in Ukrainian].
9. Barbarych, A.I. (1955). Flora and Vegetation of the Polissia in Ukrainian SSR. [Flora i roslynnist Polissia Ukrainskoi RSR]. In: Sketches on nature and agriculture of Ukrainian Polissia. Kyiv: Vydavnytstvo Kyivskoho Universytetu, 269-321. [in Ukrainian].
10. Borsukevych, L. M. (2024). Characteristic of ecosystem services of alder forests in Ukraine. *Ukrainian Journal of Natural Sciences*, 9, 25-36. [in Ukrainian]. <https://doi.org/10.32782/naturaljournal.9.2024.3>
11. Bradis, Ye.M., Kuzmychov, A.I., Andrienko, T.L., Batiachov, Ye.B. (1973). Peat-bog fund of the Ukrainian SSR, its zonation and exploitation [Torfovo-bolotnyi fond URSR, yoho raionuvannia ta vykorystannia]. Kyiv: Naukova dumka. (in Ukrainian).
12. Chytrý M., Tichý L., Holt J., Botta-Dukat Z. (2002). Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 13, 79-90. [https://doi.org/10.1658/1100-9233\(2002\)013\[0079:DODSWS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1658/1100-9233(2002)013[0079:DODSWS]2.0.CO;2)
13. Douda, J., Boublík, K., Slezák, M., Biurrun, I., Nociar, J., Havrdová, A., Doudová, J., Ačić, S., Brisse, H., Brunet, J., Chytrý, M., Claessens, H., Csiky, J., Didukh, Y., Dimopoulos, P., Dullinger, S., FitzPatrick, Ú., Guisan, A., Horchler, P., Hrivnák, R., Jandt, U., Kački, Z., Kevey, B., Landucci, F., Lecomte, H., Lenoir, J., Paal, J., Paternoster, D., Pauli, H., Pielech, R., Rodwell, J., Roelandt, B., Svenning, J.-Ch., Šibík, J., Šilc, U., Škvorc, Ž., Tsiripidis, I., Tzonev, R., Wohlgemuth, T., Zimmermann, N. E. (2016). Vegetation classification and biogeography of European floodplain forests and alder carrs. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 19 (1), 147-163. <https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12201>
14. Dubyna, D. (2003). Ecological and syntaxonomic peculiarities of aquatic vegetation in Ukrainian Polissia. *Ukrainian Phytosociological Collection*, sec. C, 3 (20), 3–35.
15. Dubyna, D.V., Dziuba, T.P., Iemeljanova, S.M., Bahrikova, N.O., Borysova, O.V., Borsukevych, L.M., Vynokurov, D.S., Hapon, S.V., Hapon, Yu.V., Davydov, D.A., Dvoretzkyi, T.V., Didukh, Ya.P., Zhmud, O.I., Kozyr, M.S., Konishchuk, V.V., Kuzemko, A.A., Pashkevych, N.A., Ryff, L.E., Solomakha, V.A., Felbaba-Klushyna, L.M., Fitsaylo, T.V., Chorna, H.A., Chorney, I.I., Shelyag-Sosonko, Yu.R., Iakushenko, D.M. (2019). Prodrôme of the vegetation of Ukraine [Prodromus roslynnosti Ukrainy]. Kyiv: Naukova dumka NAN Ukrainy. [in Ukrainian].
16. Dubyna, D.V., Dziuba, T.P., Iemeljanova, S.M., Tymoshenko, P.A. (2020). Syntaxonomy and ecological differentiation of the pioneer vegetation of Ukraine. 2. *Helichryso-Crucianelletea maritima*, *Festucetea vaginatae*, *Koelerio-Corynephoretea canescentis* classes. *Biosystems Diversity*, 28(3), 298–319. <https://doi.org/10.15421/012039>
17. Euro+Med 2006+ [continuously updated]: Euro+Med PlantBase - the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity. – Published at <http://www.europlusmed.org> [Accessed 2/10/2024]
18. Günther, A., Barthelmes, A., Huth, V., Joosten, H., Jurasinski, G., Koebisch, F., Couwenberg, J., (2020). Prompt rewetting of drained peatlands reduces climate warming despite methane emissions. *Nature Communications*, 11 (1), 1644. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15499-z>
19. Hennekens, S., Schaminée, J. (2001). TURBOVEG, a comprehensive data base management system for vegetation data. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 12 (4), 589-591. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3237010>
20. Iakushenko, D. M. (2004). New association of psammophilous vegetation from the east of Zhytomyr Polissya [Nova asotsiatsiya psamofilnoi roslynnosti zi skhodu Zhytomyrskoho Polissya]. *Visnyk of Lviv National University. Biology Series*, 35, 95–101. (in Ukrainian).
21. Joosten, H., Convention on Wetlands. (2021). Global guidelines for peatland rewetting and restoration. Ramsar Technical Report No. 11. Gland, Switzerland. [Accessed 15/09/2024]. https://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/document_s/library/rtr11_peatland_rewetting_restoration_e.pdf
22. Kreyling, J., Tanneberger, F., Jansen, F., van der Linden, S., Aggenbach, C., Blüml, V., Couwenberg, J., Emsens, W.-J., Joosten, H., Klimkowska, A., Kotowski, W., Kozub, L., Lennartz, B., Liczner, Y., Liu, H., Michaelis, D., Oehmke, C., Parakenings, K., Pley, E., Poyda, A., Raabe, S., Röhl, M., Rücker, K., Schneider, A., Schrautzer, J., Schröder, C., Schug, F., Seeber, E., Thiel, F., Thiele, S., Tiemeyer, B., Timmermann, T., Urich, T., van Diggelen, R., Vegelin, K., Verbruggen, E., Wilmking, M., Wrage-Mönnig, N., Wołejko, L., Zak, D., Jurasinski, G. (2021). Rewetting does not return drained fen peatlands to their old selves. *Nature Communications*, 12, 5693. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25619-y>
23. Kuzemko, A. (2009). Dry grasslands on sandy soils in the forest and forest-steppe zones of the plains region of Ukraine: Present state of syntaxonomy. *Tuexenia*, 29, 369–390.
24. Kuzemko, A. (2016). Classification of the class *Molinio-Arrhenatheretea* in the forest and forest-steppe zones of Ukraine. *Phytocoenologia*, 46 (3), 241–256. <https://doi.org/10.1127/phyto/2016/0083>
25. Mandžukovski, D., Čarni, A., Sotirovski, K. (Eds.) (2021). Interpretative manual of European riparian forests and shrublands. Skopje: Ss Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Hans Em Faculty of Forest Sciences Landscape Architecture and Environmental Engineering.

26. Matsala, M. S., Myroniuk, V. V., Fedyna, K. R., Bilous, A. M. (2022). Landscape-scale changes in Chernobyl Exclusion Zone after 2022 forest wildfires. *Scientific Bulletin of UNFU*, 32(6), 3237. [in Ukrainian]. <https://doi.org/10.36930/40320605>
27. Mucina, L., Bültmann, H., Dierssen, L., Theurillat, J.-P., Raus, T., Čarni, A., Šumberova, K., Willner, W., Dengler, J., Gavilán García, R., Chytrý, M., Hájek, M., Di Pietro, R., Iakushenko, D., Pallas, J., Daniëls, F., Bergmeier, E., Santos Guerra, A., Ermakov, N., Valachovič, M., Schaminée, J., Lysenko, T., Didukh, Ya., Pignatti, S., Rodwell, J., Capelo, J., Weber, H., Solomeshch, A., Dimopoulos, P., Aguiar, C., Hennekens, S., Tichý, L. (2016). Vegetation of Europe: hierarchical floristic classification system of vascular plant, bryophyte, lichen, and algal communities. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 19, Supp. 1, 3-264. <https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12257>
28. Petrov, M.F. (2016). Botanical geography researches in Chernobyl zone. [Botaniko-geohrafični doslidzhennia Chornobylskoi zony]. *Problems of the Chernobyl exclusion zone*, 15-16, 52-263. [in Ukrainian].
29. The organization project for the area of Chernobyl Radiation and Ecological Biosphere Reserve and for protection of its natural complexes (2020). [Proekt orhanizatsii terytorii Chornobylskoho radiatsiino-ekolohichnoho biosferneho zapovidnyka ta okhorony yoho pryrodnykh kompleksiv]. Vol. 1. Melitopol: PP Tsentr ekolohichnoho upravlinnia. [in Ukrainian].
30. Roleček, J., Tichý, L., Zelený, D., Chytrý, M. (2009). Modified TWINSpan classification in which the hierarchy respects cluster heterogeneity. *Journal of Vegetation Sciences*, 20 (4), 596–602. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01062.x>
31. Schwieger, S., Kreyling, J., Couwenberg, J., Smiljanić, M., Weigel, R., Wilmking, M., Blume-Werry, G. (2021). Wetter is better: Rewetting of minerotrophic peatlands increases plant production and moves them towards carbon sinks in a dry year. *Ecosystems*, 24, 1093–1109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00570-z>
32. Sypailova, L.M., Mirkin, B.M., Shelyag-Sosonko, Yu.R., Solomakha, V.A. (1985). New alliances *Agrostion vinealis* and *Festucion pratensis* of the meadow vegetation [Novi soyuzi *Agrostion vinealis* ta *Festucion pratensis* luchnoyi roslynnosti]. *Ukrainian Botanical Journal*, 42 (4), 13–18. [in Ukrainian.]
33. Sommer, P., Frank, L. (2024). Peatland rewetting as drainage exnovation – A transition governance perspective. *Land Use Policy*, 143: 107191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2024.107191>
34. Tanneberger, F., Appulo, L., Ewert, S., Lakner, S., Ó Broilcháin, N., Peters, J., Wichtmann, W. (2021). The power of nature-based solutions: How peatlands can help us to achieve key EU sustainability objectives. *Advanced Sustainable Systems*, 5 (1), 2000146. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adsu.202000146>
35. Tichý, L. (2002). JUICE, software for vegetation classification. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 13 (3), 451–453. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02069.x>
36. Turetsky, M.R., Benscoter, B., Page, S., Rein, G., van der Werf, G.R., Watts, A. (2014) Global vulnerability of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 11–14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2325>
37. UNEP. (2002). Global Peatlands Assessment – The State of the World’s Peatlands: Evidence for action toward the conservation, restoration, and sustainable management of peatlands.: Main Report. United Nation Environmental Programme, Nairobi. <https://doi.org/10.59117/20.500.11822/41222>
38. Westhoff, V., van der Maarel, E. (1973). The Braun-Blanquet approach. In: R. Whittaker (ed.). *Classification of plant Communities*, 2-nd ed. The Hague: Junk, 287–399.
39. Wildfire resilience: why rewetting peatlands must play a key role. (2024). IUCN UK Peatland Programme: Wildfire Resilience. <https://www.iucn-uk-peatlandprogramme.org/sites/default/files/2024-11/Wildfire%20Resilience.pdf> [Accessed 25/11/2024].

РОСЛИННІСТЬ ОСУШЕНОЇ ЗАПЛАВИ РІКИ УЖ В МЕЖАХ ЧОРНОБИЛЬСЬКОГО РАДІАЦІЙНО-ЕКОЛОГІЧНОГО БІОСФЕРНОГО ЗАПОВІДНИКА

Д. М. Якушенко^{1,2}, В. П. Коломійчук^{3,4}, С. М. Обрізан⁴

1 – Біосферний заповідник «Асканія-Нова» імені Ф. Е. Фальц-Фейна, Метрологічна 12, Київ, 03143, Україна

2 – Університет Зеленогурський, 3. Шафрана 1, Зелена Гура, Польща

e-mail: d.iakushenko@gmail.com

3 – Ботанічний сад імені акад. О.В. Фоміна, Київський національний університет імені Тараса Шевченка,

С. Петлюри 1, Київ, 01032, Україна

e-mail: vkolomiychuk@ukr.net

4 – Чорнобильський радіаційно-екологічний біосферний заповідник, Толочина 28, Іванків, 07201, Україна

e-mail: brizsemi@gmail.com

Робота присвячена вивченню ценотичної різноманітності та розробці синтаксономічної схеми рослинності осушеної заплави річки Уж в межах Чорнобильського радіаційно-екологічного біосферного заповідника як основи подальшого моніторингу та оцінки заходів з ренатуралізації. Використано масив даних із 105 фітоценотичних описів, проаналізований з використанням модифікованого протоколу TWINSPAN у програмному середовищі Juice 7.1. Встановлено, що рослинність дослідженої території є досить різноманітною, наведено 23 асоціації та 1 угруповання, які належать до 18 союзів, 14 порядків, 9 класів. Найбільше ценотичне багатство притамане класу *Phragmito-Magnocaricetea Klika in Klika et Novák 1941* (9 асоціацій). Мозаїчний просторовий розподіл рослинних угруповань визначається комплексністю геоморфологічної будови заплави, ускладненою антропогенними елементами (каналами, насипами), що зумовлює розвиток різних типів ґрунтів (пісків і супісків, алювіальних відкладів, мулів, торфів та ін.) і різкий градієнт ґрунтових вод. Ситуацію ускладнюють демутаційні зміни рослинності, спричинені припиненням сільськогосподарського використання території.

Ключові слова: рослинність, синтаксономія, Полісся, Чорнобильська зона відчуження.

Отримано редколегією 22.11.2024 р.

ORCID ID

Dmytro Iakushenko: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3463-7785>

Vitalii Kolomiichuk: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5767-344X>

Serhii Obrizan: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-4989-3962>